

MANDATORY: G.T.O.A. FOOTBALL OFFICIALS DEVELOPMENTAL FORM

CIRCLE YEARS OF SERVICE: R - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 DATE _____

NAME (Last) _____, (First) _____ ID _____ HOME # _____

ADDRESS _____, City _____ Zip _____ WORK# _____

CELL# _____

HOME TEAM _____ VISITOR _____

GAME LEVEL - Circle VARSITY JV JR HI ELEM 3 4 5 MAN CREW R U HL LJ BJ

ASSIGNED BY (Circle one) GTOA **INFC FRONTIER CONF** HAL DEITZ CFL NEOYFA TPS

Scott Johnson

Bob Holtam

Ray Wisdom

EVALUATION POINTS	EXCELLENT 10---9	GOOD 8 ---7	FAIR 6---5	OTHER 4---0
Appearance	_____	_____	_____	_____
Communication/Signals	_____	_____	_____	_____
Confidence/Poise	_____	_____	_____	_____
Judgment	_____	_____	_____	_____
Mechanics	_____	_____	_____	_____
Play Coverage	_____	_____	_____	_____
Quick Positive Decisions	_____	_____	_____	_____
Rules Knowledge	_____	_____	_____	_____
Teamwork/Hustle/Desire	_____	_____	_____	_____
Use of Whistle/Bag/Flag	_____	_____	_____	_____

TOTAL POINTS _____

RETURN IMMEDIATELY TO:

GTOA, P.O. Box 472222
Tulsa, OK 74147-2222

	Recommended Varsity Assignments	Evaluation Points
{ } Needs a lot more work/trng/critique at ELEM/JR HI/JV level	(None) _____	0 to 55
{ } Needs more work/trng/critique at the ELEM/JR HI/JV level, but showed steady improvement as games(s) progressed.	(None) _____	56 to 65
{ } Needs more work/trng/critique at the JR HI/JV level, but could work <u>very limited</u> varsity assignments.	(3 to 5) _____	66 to 75
{ } Needs more training but has made significant progress to recommend for selected varsity assignments.	(6 to 8) _____	76 to 85
{ } Has no notable weaknesses -- recommend for <u>mid-level</u> Varsity assignments.	(10) _____	86 to 100

REFEREE'S (Evaluator's) Printed Name _____ Date _____

Evaluator's email _____ Signature _____ Tel. # _____

Other Officials: REF UMP H.L. L. J.

COMMENTS: